
Competitive Dynamics in the Global Insurance Industry

Markus Schimmer

Competitive Dynamics in the Global Insurance Industry

Strategic Groups, Competitive Moves,
and Firm Performance

Foreword by Prof. Ming-Jer Chen, Ph.D.



Springer Gabler

RESEARCH

Markus Schimmer
St. Gallen, Switzerland

Doctoral Thesis, University St. Gallen, Schweiz, 2012

ISBN 978-3-8349-3991-3

ISBN 978-3-8349-3992-0 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-3-8349-3992-0

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Springer Gabler

© Gabler Verlag | Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden 2012

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law. The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Cover design: Künkellopka GmbH, Heidelberg

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer Gabler is a brand of Springer DE. Springer DE is part of Springer Science+Business Media. www.springer-gabler.de

Foreword

The term “competitive dynamics” may be used to describe rivalrous behavior in any number of contexts. In business and economics, it stands for the ongoing struggle between firms for competitive advantage – a process central to the economic development not only of firms, but of entire economies.

For many years, however, scholarly research fell short of its potential to cater to managers’ interest in means and patterns of competition, favoring more static industry-structure analyses. Aware of this shortcoming, several scholars set out about two decades ago to pursue the sort of competition research that would be more relevant to strategists. They forayed into the study of competitive actions as vehicles for rivalry, and thus into empirical data that directly relates to managers’ competitive decision-making processes.

As one of these pioneers, I have worked to advance the field of competitive dynamics along four cohesive thematic lines: (1) the action/response dyad, (2) firm- (business-) level competition, (3) theoretical and methodological concerns, and (4) corporate-level competition. Across these themes, several findings stand out. In contrast to the structural school of thought, competition is not a symmetric market characteristic uniformly spread throughout the market, but a relational phenomenon that exists between rivals. Further, competitive behavior follows patterns that can be elicited from the stream of firms’ competitive actions. Within these sequences, actions tend to be direct responses to prior rival actions and can, given knowledge of these rivalrous actions, often be anticipated by a firm.

The action-oriented approach to the study of competitive dynamics has mitigated the static legacy of structural industry-level research. Its micro-view turned interfirm rivalry dynamics on its head and reconciled it with the central propositions of Austrian and Schumpeterian economics. Despite these important contributions, however, competitive dynamics research remains underdeveloped in several important ways. It lacks an integrative framework that can organize the field’s many facets; its potential to bridge the micro and macro perspectives has not been fully realized; it has yet to establish important links with other disciplines in the management field; and it suffers from lack of “contextualization”.

Markus's dissertation takes several steps toward addressing some of these issues. In its first study, the dissertation shows how human agency links the direction of a firm's strategic change with the firm's broader industrial environment. The study provides not only an innovative and far more comprehensive perspective on strategic group dynamics, but also one that remains anchored in behavioral thinking. The dissertation's second study contextualizes the competitive-behavioral patterns of firms by explicating the impact of environmental shocks on the firms' competitive choices. Its analyses initially discuss the impacts market shocks have on how managers determine competitive actions. The study also pioneers a very useful empirical approach by tapping the online press release archives of firms in a scalable and replicable manner.

The third study, focusing on interrelationships in action sequences, provides a more granular level of investigation, scrutinizing the performance implications of strategic interrelationships among individual competitive actions.

This body of work represents a comprehensive effort to close significant research gaps pertaining to competition and competitive dynamics. Not only does Markus's dissertation address a thematically wide range of research, it is highly entrepreneurial in its empirical approach, utilizes a varied set of methods, and is truly collaborative in character. I offer Markus my most sincere congratulations on his work, and send my best wishes for the future from the Darden School of Business.

Charlottesville, January 2012

Prof. Ming-Jer Chen

Fellow and President of the Academy of Management (2012-13)

*Leslie E. Grayson Professor of Business Administration
The Darden School of Business, University of Virginia*

Acknowledgements

Writing this thesis has been a very rewarding experience. It allowed me to meet exceptional people, explore different topics and distant places, and grow with the challenges the task implied. I feel very grateful to those who supported me during this experience and would like to take the opportunity to thank these people.

First and foremost, I am indebted to my doctoral advisor, Prof. Dr. Günter Müller-Stewens, who enabled this research. He further provided me with guidance through the inevitable ups and downs while leaving me the creative freedom to develop my own research agenda. Further, I owe to Prof. Dr. Hato Schmeiser, who kindly served as co-advisor and challenged my research from the industry perspective. My most sincere thanks go to Prof. Dr. Matthias Brauer, who helped me to narrow down my research scope, and ensured with his thorough feedback and continuous advice that my research stayed on course. I offer further gratitude to Prof. Ming-Jer Chen at the Darden School of Business, under whose guidance I completed my thesis at the University of Virginia in Charlottesville. My time at Darden truly completed my "dissertation journey". With respect to this stay, I gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation.

I also want to give thanks for the generous support I received from my former employer, Allianz SE. Prof. Dr. Volker Deville and his team not only willingly served as a sounding board in the early stages of my dissertation project, but also helped me to overcome some of its empirical challenges. In this regard, I also thank Mr. Bryan Martyn of A.M. Best Europe for his efforts to bring A.M. Best on board. One step further back in time, I owe to Mr. Martin Wricke and the CPO-team of the "Sustainability Program" of Allianz SE, who taught me the quantitative skills and practices that inspired the research strategy of this dissertation and allowed me to pursue my scholarly interest in a methodologically largely unconstrained manner.

Further, I want to thank those people who nudged me into the right direction at the right time during the last years. These people are (in chronological order) Ann Currlin, Prof. Dr. Jan-Marco Leimeister, Damir Cacic, Martin Reich, Roman Simschek, Andrea Olivieri, Jennifer Gunther and Alexander Gebauer. Without any of you, my life would have taken a different course and I would most likely not have written these lines. Thank you for your advice and invaluable friendship.

Working on my dissertation would have been much less fun without my colleagues, friends and fellow PhD students at the University of St. Gallen and the University of Virginia. I want to particularly thank Sven Kunisch, Christian Welling, Dr. Markus Menz, Dr. Lisa Hopfmüller, Petra Kipfelsberger, Dr. Christoph Tyssen, Johannes Luger, André Blondiau, Nicole Rosenkranz, and Dr. Florian Hotz for the joyful moments we shared. In Charlottesville and at the University of Virginia, I want to particularly thank Caroline, John and Damaris Jimenez, David Dobolyi, Vincent Kan, Xin Chen, Sebastián Alcain, Betsy Chunko, Dr. Eusebio Pires and Adrian Keevil for their great company, which had a fair share in turning my stay in the United States into an unforgettable experience.

My final acknowledgements belong to my parents Lydia and Heinz, and my sister Nicole, who trusted my decision to journey into uncharted waters despite the many twists and turns encountered. Thank you for your unwavering support and love during these exciting years.

Charlottesville, July 2011

Markus Schimmer

Table of Contents

List of Figures	XI
List of Tables	XII
List of Appendices	XIII
List of Abbreviations	XIV
Abstract and Keywords.....	XV
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Research Objectives and Guiding Questions	2
1.2 Research Strategy and Method.....	4
1.3 Dissertation Outline.....	7
2 Theoretical Background	9
2.1 A Short History of Economic-Thought on Competition.....	10
2.2 Competition Research Within Strategic Management	12
2.3 Toward a Research Agenda.....	16
3 Convergence-Divergence Within Strategic Groups.....	18
3.1 Introduction	18
3.2 Research on Strategic Group Dynamics	21
3.3 Antecedents of Strategic Convergence-Divergence.....	24
3.4 Method.....	35
3.5 Results	47
3.6 Discussion	54
3.7 Implications and Limitations.....	57
4 From Crisis to Opportunity: How Market Shocks Impact Interfirm Rivalry	59
4.1 Introduction	59

4.2	Competitive Dynamics Research and the Environment.....	61
4.3	Hypotheses	63
4.4	Method.....	69
4.5	Results	78
4.6	Discussion	82
4.7	Avenues for Future Research and Limitations.....	83
5	Performance Effects of Corporate Divestiture Programs	85
5.1	Introduction	85
5.2	Extant Research on Sources of Divestiture Gains.....	87
5.3	Hypotheses	91
5.4	Method.....	96
5.5	Results	104
5.6	Discussion	107
5.7	Avenues for Future Research and Limitations.....	109
5.8	Conclusion.....	110
6	Discussion and Conclusion	111
6.1	Summary of the Results	111
6.2	Summary of the Theoretical Contributions.....	116
6.3	Practical Implications.....	118
6.4	Limitations.....	120
6.5	Future Research Avenues.....	121
6.6	Conclusion.....	122
	References.....	124
	Appendices.....	157

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Important Elements Driving Competitive Behaviors.....	4
Figure 1-2: The Dissertation's Empirical Foundation	5
Figure 1-3: Dissertation Outline.....	8
Figure 2-1: Theoretical Perspectives on Interfirm Rivalry	12
Figure 2-2: Research Agenda	16
Figure 3-1: Strategic Convergence-Divergence in two Dimensions.....	26
Figure 3-2: Performance, Aspirations, and Strategic Convergence-Divergence	28
Figure 3-3: Strategic Group Performance Levels (ROA)	50
Figure 6-1: Contributions to Competitive Dynamics Research	116

List of Tables

Table 3-1: Variables Describing Strategy in the U.S. Insurance Industry	38
Table 3-2: Time Series of Strategic Group Attributes	48
Table 3-3: Descriptive Statistics and Correlations.....	51
Table 3-4: Panel Regressions Estimating Strategic Convergence-Divergence.....	52
Table 4-1: Categorization Scheme - Action Types and Keyword Lists.....	73
Table 4-2: Descriptive Statistics and Correlations.....	79
Table 4-3: Cox Models of Action Rate	80
Table 5-1: Shareholder Wealth Effects (Sell-Side) of Prior Studies.....	87
Table 5-2: Descriptive Statistics and Correlations.....	104
Table 5-3: Shareholder Wealth Effects (CAAR) for Different Event Windows	105
Table 5-4: Results of OLS Regression Models for CAR (-1, +1).....	106
Table 6-1: Results of Chapter 3.....	112
Table 6-2: Results of Chapter 4.....	114
Table 6-3: Results of Chapter 5.....	115

List of Appendices

Appendix 1: Empirical Foundation of the Dissertation	158
Appendix 2: Event Categorization, Scaling and Coding	160
Appendix 3: Chart of Strategic Group Analyses.....	161
Appendix 4: Exemplary VBA Macro.....	164